## **CORRECTION**



## Correction to: A new approach for ergonomic risk assessment integrating KEMIRA, best-worst and MCDM methods

Elif Kılıç Delice<sup>1</sup> · Gülin Feryal Can<sup>2</sup>

Published online: 5 August 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

## **Correction to: Soft Computing**

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-020-05143-9

Line 554, equation 11:  $w_{x_{W_k}}$  must be written the equation (11) as below:

$$\left| \frac{w_{x_{i_k}}}{w_{x_{W_k}}} - x_{iW_k} \right| \le \xi_k^x \text{ for all } i$$

Table 14: line 1,  $e_r j$  should be written as  $e_r$ 

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Table 14 Weights of MOORA Ratio, MOORA reference point, MOOSRA and COPRAS methods

Parameters	MOORA ratio	MOORA reference point	MOOSRA	COPRAS	SUM
$e_r$	0.950	0.956	0.936	0.994	
$1-e_r$	0.050	0.044	0.064	0.006	0.164
$aw_r$	0.304	0.269	0.391	0.037	
$\sqrt{aw_r}$	0.551	0.518	0.625	0.192	
$1+\sqrt{aw_r}$	1.551	1.518	1.625	1.192	5.886
$pw_r$	0.263	0.258	0.276	0.202	1.000

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-020-05143-9.



<sup>⊠</sup> Elif Kılıç Delice elif.kdelice@atauni.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Industrial Department, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey

Industrial Department, Baskent University, Erzurum, Turkey