

- 8 D'Ambrosio L, Dara M, Tadolini M, *et al.* Tuberculosis elimination: theory and practice in Europe. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43: 1410–1420.
- 9 Esposito S, D'Ambrosio L, Tadolini M, *et al.* ERS/WHO Tuberculosis Consilium assistance with extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis management in a child: case study of compassionate delamanid use. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 811–815.
- 10 Codecasa LR, Ciconali G, Mazzola E. Managing an XDR-TB outbreak: the public health face of the medal. *Eur Respir J* 2015; 45: 292–294.
- 11 Smit PW, Haanperä M, Rantala P, *et al.* Molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis in Finland, 2008–2011. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e85027
- 12 Rangaka MX, Wilkinson KA, Glynn JR, *et al.* Predictive value of interferon- γ release assays for incident active tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2012; 12: 45–55.
- 13 Hinks TS, Varsani N, Godsiff DT, *et al.* High background rates of positive tuberculosis-specific interferon- γ release assays in a low prevalence region of UK: a surveillance study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2012; 12: 339.
- 14 Bradshaw L, Davies E, Devine M, *et al.* The role of the interferon gamma release assay in assessing recent tuberculosis transmission in a hospital incident. *PLoS One* 2011; 6: e20770.
- 15 Fox GJ, Barry SE, Britton WJ, *et al.* Contact investigation for tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41: 140–156.

Eur Respir J 2015; 45: 276–279 | DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00125914 | Copyright ©ERS 2015

False-negative interferon- γ release assay results in active tuberculosis: a TBNET study

To the Editor:

Tuberculosis is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Rapid identification of contagious tuberculosis patients and effective treatment are necessary to prevent the spread of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, the causative bacterium of the disease. Although interferon- γ release assays (IGRAs) have been developed for the diagnosis of latent infection with *M. tuberculosis*, these assays are sometimes used as adjunctive tests in the diagnostic workup for active tuberculosis, despite poor specificity [2].

A systematic review and meta-analysis [2] found a pooled sensitivity for the diagnosis of culture-proven active tuberculosis of 81% and 92% of the QuantiFERON Gold in-tube test (QFT-GIT) (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) and the T-SPOT.TB test (Oxford Immunotec, Oxford, UK), respectively. Thus, approximately 8–19% of patients have a negative IGRA result when presenting with active tuberculosis.

Several risk factors were associated with negative IGRA results including immunodeficiency, young or advanced age, a negative tuberculin skin test (TST) result, extrapulmonary tuberculosis, disseminated tuberculosis, concomitant tuberculosis treatment and smoking. However, these studies were limited by an observational design implemented in single centres and most of them did not include large numbers of patients with culture-confirmed tuberculosis.

An international, multicentre, retrospective, cross-sectional study was performed by the Tuberculosis Network European Trials Group (TBNET) (www.tb-net.org) to identify risk factors associated with false-negative IGRA results in patients with active tuberculosis.

Clinical data and laboratory results from patients enrolled at 25 participating centres with a confirmed diagnosis of active tuberculosis (*i.e.* positive *M. tuberculosis* culture and/or positive *M. tuberculosis*-specific nucleic acid amplification assay) who had a routine IGRA investigation by the T-SPOT.TB test or the QFT-GIT, as part of the diagnostic evaluation between April 2006 and May 2011, were retrospectively recorded on a standardised anonymous questionnaire. For each patient with a negative IGRA test result, two tuberculosis patients with a positive IGRA result admitted directly before and after the patient with a negative IGRA result were included as controls. Immunocompromised patients were defined as patients with at least one of the following medical conditions: HIV infection, treatment with immunosuppressive

drugs, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, malignancy and/or history of solid-organ or stem cell transplantation.

Logistic regression analysis was carried out to assess potential independent variables associated with a negative IGRA test result in patients with active tuberculosis. All variables with a p-value <0.1 were included in the multivariate analysis. A p-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata 9.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Data were collected from 771 patients. Of these, 107 patients were excluded because of missing clinical data (n=55), missing nucleic acid amplification testing and/or culture confirmation of tuberculosis (n=21), indeterminate test results (n=17), missing control patients (n=9), identified false data entry (n=3) or patient data duplication (n=1).

For the final analysis, 221 tuberculosis patients with a negative IGRA test result and 442 control tuberculosis patients with a positive IGRA test result (total of 664 patients) were included. 32 tuberculosis patients had a negative T-SPOT.TB, 182 tuberculosis patients had a negative QFT-GIT, and seven tuberculosis patients had both a negative T-SPOT.TB and a negative QFT-GIT test result. The median age (interquartile range) of the patients was 41 (28–56) years in the QFT-GIT and 41 (30–53) years in the T-SPOT.TB group. 26.3% and 27.3% TB patients of the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB test groups, respectively, were immunocompromised.

Age resulted the only significant variable associated with a negative QFT-GIT test in patients with tuberculosis in multivariate analysis (OR 1.04, 95% CI 1.02–1.07; p<0.0001) (table 1). Mean±SD age of the cases was 46.94±17.76 years and mean age of the control patients 41.16±16.24 (p<0.001). Immunocompromised patients did not have a significantly increased risk of a false-negative QFT-GIT test (OR 1.38, 95% CI 0.91–2.09; p=0.13). None of the different diseases with immunodeficiency when analysed independently were associated with an increased risk of a false-negative IGRA test result. In contrast to the QFT-GIT, age was not recognised as a predictor for a negative T-SPOT.TB test results in the univariate analysis (OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.99–1.05; p=0.06). Similar to the QFT-GIT, immunocompromised patients did not have a significant higher probability of a false negative T-SPOT.TB test (OR 1.33, 95% CI 0.62–2.82; p=0.47).

Older age was previously recognised as a risk factor for false-negative IGRA test results and the interferon (IFN)- γ concentration obtained in reaction to the 6-kDa early secretory antigenic target (ESAT-6) or the 10-kDa culture filtrate protein seems (CFP-10) to decrease gradually with age [3]. This can explain the difference between the tests in terms of statistical significance of the variable age as a risk factor for a negative result. The T-SPOT.TB test requires a specific number of peripheral blood mononuclear cells in the assay so that smaller amounts of IFN- γ can be detected, whereas the QFT-GIT uses whole blood without any standardisations of the number of mononuclear cells.

There is also evidence that false-negative IGRA results can be observed more often in younger children. However, because there were no children aged <5 years enrolled in this study, we were unable to address this possible relationship.

In contrast to previous investigations, immunodeficiency, concomitant tuberculosis treatment, disseminated tuberculosis, extrapulmonary tuberculosis and smoking could not be identified as risk factors for false-negative IGRA test results.

Apart from the association with older age, it remains unclear why some individuals with active tuberculosis have unidentifiable *M. tuberculosis*-specific adaptive immune responses at the time of tuberculosis diagnosis. Results from previous studies have suggested different aetiologies for false-negative IGRA test results that were not evaluated in this study.

During tuberculosis, progression the natural cytokine balance is altered while the bacterial load increases, potentially influencing the performance of IGRA tests [4, 5]. Decreased *M. tuberculosis*-specific immune responses, especially IFN- γ production [4–7], have been attributed to the immunomodulatory action of CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ regulatory T (Treg)-cells, which expand in the course of active tuberculosis. To support speculatively this experimental hypothesis, TST reactions are reversely related to the frequency of Treg-cells in the peripheral blood [8].

Genetic variability of a specific major histocompatibility complex class II allele, *i.e.* human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DRB1*0701, may cause less binding with *M. tuberculosis*-specific antigens ESAT-6 and CFP-10. When antigens are less presented to T-cells, there may be a failing immune response [9]. It was shown that also HLA-DRB1*0701 is significantly associated with a false-negative IGRA test result (OR 5.09) [10].

Another explanation for a negative IGRA result in active tuberculosis is compartmentalisation of T-cells [4, 11] during the course of active tuberculosis. IGRAs measure IFN- γ production by peripheral blood

TABLE 1 Logistic regression analysis of potential independent variables associated with negative interferon- γ release assay (IGRA) results in patients with active tuberculosis

Variables	QuantiFERON Gold In-Tube				T-SPOT.TB			
	Univariate		Multivariate		Univariate		Multivariate	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Age years	1.02 (1.01–1.03)	<0.0001	1.04 (1.02–1.07)	<0.001	1.02 (0.99–1.05)	0.06		
Male sex	1.36 (0.91–2.03)	0.13			1.05 (0.50–2.19)	0.91		
Cigarette smoking[#]	1.14 (0.77–1.68)	0.53			0.52 (0.26–1.04)	0.06		
Immunodeficiency[¶]	1.38 (0.91–2.09)	0.13			1.33 (0.62–2.82)	0.47		
History of active tuberculosis	1.38 (0.70–2.72)	0.36			1.01 (0.37–2.79)	0.98		
Pulmonary tuberculosis	0.80 (0.47–1.37)	0.41			0.71 (0.23–2.21)	0.55		
Tuberculin skin test								
Positive	0.52 (0.28–0.98)	0.04	1.90 (0.65–5.60)	0.24	1.28 (0.38–4.39)	0.69		
Negative	1.92 (1.02–3.61)	0.04	0.53 (0.18–1.55)	0.24	0.78 (0.23–2.66)	0.69		
IGRA								
<i>M. tuberculosis</i> antigens	+	+	+	+	0.69 [§] (0.58–0.82)	<0.0001 [§]	0.43 [§] (0.18–1.04)	0.06 [§]
Positive control	0.96 (0.93–0.99)	0.006	0.98 (0.94–1.01)	0.18	0.53 ^f (0.40–0.71)	<0.0001 ^f	0.31 ^f (0.09–1.06)	0.06 ^f
Negative control	1.01 (0.55–1.86)	0.98			0.48 (0.27–0.84)	0.01	1.88 (0.20–17.33)	0.58
Exposure to antituberculosis therapy at time of IGRA	0.98(0.67–1.45)	0.93			0.85 (0.62–1.15)	0.29		
					1.10 (0.47–2.57)	0.83		

[#]: past or present. [¶]: e.g. HIV infection, malignancy, transplantation, diabetes mellitus or rheumatoid arthritis. ^{*}: 6-kDa early secretory antigen target (ESAT-6), 10-kDa culture filtrate protein (CFP-10) and TB7.7; values ≤ 0.34 predict data perfectly (the output of the logistic regression analysis did not define any specific odds ratios because there is a complete overlap between a specific outcome and the values of the interferon- γ responses lower than 0.35). [§]: ESAT-6. ^f: CFP-10.

T-cells, whereas the predominant production of IFN- γ in active tuberculosis occurs at the site of infection [12–14]. It has been observed that a substantial number of tuberculosis patients with negative TST test results at the time of presentation develop positive TST results on antituberculosis therapy.

Our study has limitations. IGRA test results were analysed in retrospect and quantitative test results were not collected. Apart from demographic parameters, immunological mechanisms or genetic causes of false-negative IGRA results could not be studied. Nevertheless, this is the largest study to evaluate false-negative IGRA responses in active tuberculosis to date.

In conclusion, apart from advanced age, we could not identify risk factors for false-negative IGRA results in patients with active tuberculosis. As IGRAs cannot distinguish latent *M. tuberculosis* infection from tuberculosis, there is need to improve immunodiagnostic methods to distinguish different stages of *M. tuberculosis* infection [15].



@ERSpublications

TB outbreak investigation can be enhanced by using whole genome sequencing, IGRA and social network analysis <http://ow.ly/AzxfH>

Veerle de Visser¹, Giovanni Sotgiu², Christoph Lange^{3,4,5}, Martine G. Aabye^{6,7}, Marleen Bakker⁸, Filippo Bartalesi⁹, Kristian Brat¹⁰, Cynthia B.E. Chee¹¹, Keertan Dheda¹², Jose Dominguez¹³, Fusun Eyuboglu¹⁴, Maha Ghanem¹⁵, Delia Goletti¹⁶, Asli Gorek Dilektasi¹⁷, Lorenzo Guglielmetti¹⁸, Won-Jung Koh¹⁹, Irene Latorre¹³, Monica Losi²⁰, Monica Polanova²¹, Pernille Ravn²², Felix C. Ringshausen²³, Rudolf Rumetshofer²⁴, Maria Luiza de Souza-Galvão²⁵, Steven Thijssen¹, Graham Bothamley²⁶ and Aik Bossink¹, for the TBNET¹

¹Dept of Pulmonary Disease, Diaconessenhuis, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ²Epidemiology and Medical Statistics Unit, Dept of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sassari-Research, Medical Education and Professional Development Unit, AOU Sassari, Sassari, Italy. ³Division of Clinical Infectious Diseases, German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Research Center Borstel, Borstel, Germany. ⁴Dept of Medicine, University of Namibia School of Medicine, Windhoek, Namibia. ⁵International Health/Infectious Diseases, University of Lubeck, Lubeck, Germany. ⁶Clinical Research Unit, University of Copenhagen, Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen Denmark. ⁷National Institute of Medical Research, Mwanza, Tanzania. ⁸Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ⁹SOD Malattie Infettive e Tropicali, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy. ¹⁰University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic. ¹¹Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore. ¹²University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹³Microbiology Dept, Institut d'Investigació Germans Trias i Pujol, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Ciber Enfermedades Respiratorias, Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁴Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Dept of Pulmonary Diseases, Ankara, Turkey. ¹⁵Dept of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis, Assiut University Hospital, Assiut, Egypt. ¹⁶IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive "L. Spallanzani", Rome, Italy. ¹⁷Uludag University Faculty of Medicine, Dept of Pulmonary Diseases, Bursa, Turkey. ¹⁸Unità Operativa Complessa di Malattie Infettive, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. ¹⁹Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ²⁰University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy. ²¹The National Institute of TB, Respiratory Diseases and Thoracic Surgery, Vysné Hágy, Slovakia. ²²Department of Pulmonary and Infectious Diseases, University of Copenhagen, Hillerød Hospital, Denmark. ²³Hannover Medical School, Dept of Respiratory Medicine, Hannover, Germany. ²⁴Treatment Center for Tuberculosis, Dept of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Otto Wagner Hospital, Vienna, Austria. ²⁵Drassanes Tuberculosis Unit, Vall d'Hebron Teaching Hospital, Barcelona, Spain. ²⁶Dept of Respiratory Medicine, Homerton University Hospital, London, UK.

Correspondence: Veerle de Visser, Dept of Pulmonary Disease, Diaconessenhuis, Bosboomstraat 1, Utrecht, The Netherlands. Email: veerledevisser@gmail.com

Received: June 30 2014 | Accepted after revision: Sept 29 2014 | First published online: Oct 30 2014

Conflict of interest: Disclosures can be found alongside the online version of this article at erj.ersjournals.com

References

- 1 World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2013. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013.
- 2 Sester M, Sotgiu G, Lange C, *et al.* Interferon- γ release assays for the diagnosis of active tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2011; 37: 100–111.
- 3 Hang NT, Lien LT, Kobayashi N, *et al.* Analysis of factors lowering sensitivity of interferon- γ release assay for tuberculosis. *PLoS One* 2011; 6: e23806
- 4 Kobashi Y, Mouri K, Yagi S, *et al.* Clinical utility of the QuantiFERON TB-2G test for elderly patients with active tuberculosis. *Chest* 2008; 133: 1196–1202.
- 5 Vanham G, Toossi Z, Hirsch CS, *et al.* Examining a paradox in the pathogenesis of human pulmonary tuberculosis: immune activation and suppression/nergy. *Tuber Lung Dis* 1997; 78: 145–168.
- 6 Sodhi A, Gong J, Silva C, *et al.* Clinical correlates of interferon gamma production in patients with tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997; 25: 617–620.
- 7 Li L, Lao SH, Wu CY. Increased frequency of CD4⁺CD25^{high} Treg cells inhibit BCG-specific induction of IFN-gamma by CD4⁺ T cells from TB patients. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 2007; 87: 526–534.
- 8 Ribeiro-Rodrigues R, Resende Co T, Rojas R, *et al.* A role for CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells in regulation of the immune response during human tuberculosis. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2006; 144: 25–34.
- 9 Sarrazin H, Wilkinson KA, Andersson J, *et al.* Relationship between tuberculin skin test reactivity, memory CD4 subset and circulating FoxP3 expressing cells in HIV-infected persons. *J Infect Dis* 2009; 199: 702–710.

- 10 Arend SM, Geluk A, van Meijgaarden KE, *et al.* Antigenic equivalence of human T-cell responses to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-specific RD1-encoded protein antigens ESAT-6 and culture filtrate protein 10 and to mixtures of synthetic peptides. *Infect Immun* 2000; 68: 3314–3321.
- 11 Lange C, Pai M, Drobniowski F, *et al.* Interferon- γ release assays for the diagnosis of active tuberculosis: sensible or silly? *Eur Respir J* 2009; 33: 1250–1253.
- 12 Losi M, Bossink A, Codecasa L, *et al.* Use of a T-cell interferon- γ release assay for the diagnosis of tuberculous pleurisy. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 30: 1173–1179.
- 13 Jafari C, Ernst M, Strassburg A, *et al.* Local immunodiagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis by enzyme-linked immunospot. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 261–265.
- 14 Jafari C, Ernst M, Diel R, *et al.* Rapid diagnosis of smear negative tuberculosis by bronchoalveolar-lavage enzyme-linked immunospot. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 174: 1048–1054.
- 15 Chegou NN, Heyckendorf J, Walzl G, *et al.* Beyond the IFN- γ horizon: biomarkers for immunodiagnosis of infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43: 1472–1486.

Eur Respir J 2015; 45: 279–283 | DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00120214 | Copyright ©ERS 2015