Anorectal function and outcomes after transanal minimally invasive surgery for rectal tumors
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Transanal endoscopic microsurgery is a minimally invasive technique that allows full-thickness resection and suture closure of the defect for large rectal adenomas, selected low-risk rectal cancers, or small cancers in patients who have a high risk for major surgery. Our aim, in the given prospective study was to report our initial clinical experience with TAMIS, and to evaluate its effects on postoperative anorectal functions. MATERIALS AND METHODS: In 10 patients treated with TAMIS for benign and malignant rectal tumors, preoperative and postoperative anorectal function was evaluated with anorectal manometry and Cleveland Clinic Incontinence Score. RESULTS: The mean distance of the tumors from the anal verge was 5.6 cm, and mean tumor diameter was 2.6 cm. All resection margins were tumor free. There was no difference in preoperative and 3-week postoperative anorectal manometry findings; only mean minimum rectal sensory volume was lower at 3 weeks after surgery. The Cleveland Clinic Incontinence Score was normal in all patients except one which resolved by 6 weeks after surgery. The mean postoperative follow-up was 28 weeks without any recurrences. CONCLUSION: Transanal minimally invasive surgery is a safe and effective procedure for treatment of rectal tumors and can be performed without impairing anorectal functions.
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INTRODUCTION

Low rectal cancers and large benign rectal tumors that cannot be excised endoscopically are treated typically with abdominoperineal or low anterior resection. Transanal endoscopic microsurgery is a minimally invasive technique that allows full-thickness resection and suture closure of the defect for large rectal adenomas, selected low-risk rectal cancers, or small cancers in patients who have a high risk for major surgery.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) The advantages of transanal endoscopic microsurgery over local excision include improved quality of resection, decreased frequency of local recurrence, and improved survival in patients who have early stage rectal cancer. Treatment of rectal tumors with transanal endoscopic microsurgery is safe and effective and has similar long-term morbidity and mortality as conventional transanal excision.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^6\) However, the world wide experience of transanal endoscopic microsurgery is limited because of the high cost of the specialized surgical equipment and the difficult learning curve of the procedure.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) In addition, transanal endoscopic microsurgery commonly may be complicated by postoperative dysfunction of the anorectal sphincter.\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^12\)

Transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) combines singleport access with the principles of transanal excision.\(^13\) In this procedure, a single-incision laparoscopic surgery multichannel port is introduced into the anal canal, and transanal excision is performed with laparoscopic instruments. Following studies using the transanal single port reported that the procedure was effective and safe for excision of early rectal cancer and adenomas, with excellent visibility of the operative field and low morbidity. Compared with transanal endoscopic microsurgery, TAMIS has a shorter learning curve, faster device setup before surgery, lower cost, and easier surgical manipulation.\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^17\) However,
there is a lack of information about the effects of TAMIS on anorectal function in the literature. Our aim, in the presented prospective study was to report our initial clinical experience with TAMIS and to evaluate its effects on postoperative anorectal functions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study was performed in 10 patients who were treated for benign and malignant rectal tumors with TAMIS between May and November 2013, and were not appropriate candidates for endoscopic lesion removal, because of the tumor size, localization, etc. All patients had endoscopic biopsy and pelvic phase-array magnetic resonance imaging scan for local staging. For patients with a biopsy-proven malignant lesion, treatment with TAMIS was offered only to patients with cT1cN0 invasive carcinoma, lesion diameter <3 cm, well-differentiated histology, the absence of lymphovascular invasion, and tumor grade 2 to 3. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained before starting the study and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Data about patient demographics and characteristics of the lesion (location, maximum diameter, and distance to the anal verge), diagnoses from preoperative biopsy and final postoperative pathology, resection margins, operative time, and complications were recorded prospectively. Fecal incontinence severity was assessed using the validated Cleveland and Clinic Incontinence Score questionnaire (possible score range: Normal continence, 0; complete incontinence, 20), which was completed by all patients before and at 3 weeks after surgery.

The bowel preparation was performed the day before surgery (Phospho-Soda, CB Fleet Company, Lynchburg, VA), and patients were hospitalized on the day of surgery. Antibiotic prophylaxis included cefuroxime (1 g) and metronidazole (1 g) given intravenously before starting surgery. Surgery was performed with the patient in the lithotomy position under general anesthesia. A single-incision laparoscopic surgery port (SILS Port, Covidien, Mansfield, MA) was inserted into the anal canal and pneumorectum was established with carbon dioxide insufflation (pressure, 15 to 18 mmHg). Excision of the lesion was performed using basic laparoscopic instruments, a laparoscope (5 mm; 30°), and an ultrasonically activated scalpel (Harmonic Scalpel, 5 mm, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ). For all lesions, planned margins >1 cm were marked around the tumor with monopolar cautery, full-thickness excision was performed, and the defect was closed with absorbable monofilament sutures [Figure 1].

All patients were discharged from the hospital on the day after surgery and advised to maintain a soft diet for 3 days after surgery. Follow-up evaluation included digital examination at 1 week and proctoscopy at 3 weeks after surgery.

Anorectal manometry was performed before and at 3 weeks after surgery with a manometric sensor (external diameter, 2.1 mm) with four circular orifices and a latex microballoon (Solar GI High Resolution Anorectal Manometry, Medical Measurement Systems, Enschede, The Netherlands). Tracings and pressure contours were analyzed with software (Measurement and Analysis Software, Medical Measurement Systems). Anorectal manometric parameters were recorded including (1) mean resting anal pressure during an interval of 30 s; (2) maximum squeeze pressure recorded during maximal sphincter contractions (duration of each contraction, 5 s; average of three measurements); (3) squeeze endurance (the patient was asked to squeeze for as long as possible until sphincter pressure decreased to the baseline level or until 30 s had passed); and (4) minimum rectal sensory volume (the balloon in the rectum was inflated gradually and the patient was instructed to indicate the first sensation). Rectoanal inhibitory reflex with 50-cm³ rectal distention and sphincter reflex contractions during coughing were also evaluated in each patient. First urge and maximum tolerable volume were not measured because of potential risks of postoperative wound dehiscence.

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (SPSS: An IBM Company, version 6.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Variables were reported as mean ± standard deviation.
or number (%). Statistical comparisons were made with t test. Statistical significance was defined by $P \leq .05$.

RESULTS

Mean age of the patients was $66 \pm 10$ (ranged between 51-84) years, and most patients had posterior or posterolateral rectal wall tumors [Table 1]. The mean distance of the tumor from the anal verge was $5.6 \pm 2.4$ (ranged between 3-10) cm. The mean tumor diameter was $2.6 \pm 1.2$ (ranged between 0.4-5) cm, and the mean distance of the tumor from the resection margins was $7.2 \pm 2.7$ (ranged between 4-12) mm [Table 1].

All patients were discharged from the hospital on the day after surgery except 1 man (age: 84 years) who had a preoperative diagnosis of sigmoid cancer and synchronous rectal intramucosal carcinoma [Table 1]; this patient had resection of the rectal tumor with TAMIS and laparoscopic anterior resection for the sigmoid cancer in the same operation (total operative time, 220 min), and he was discharged from the hospital on the seventh postoperative day without any complications.

The mean total operative time for the TAMIS procedure (including device setup and positioning of the patient) was 98.8 min (range, 45 to 185 min). The only technical difficulty during surgery was a temporary loss of pneumorectum in all operations.

Histology of the preoperative biopsy showed four benign lesions (two villous and two tubulovillous adenomas) and six malignant lesions [Table 1]. After resection of the rectal tumor with TAMIS, the postoperative pathologic diagnosis was different from the preoperative diagnosis in five patients, including three patients with preoperative diagnosis of adenomas (two villous and one tubulovillous) who had postoperative diagnosis of intramucosal carcinoma. Two other patients who had intramucosal carcinomas in preoperative biopsy were found to be a low-grade T1 adenocarcinoma with no lymphovascular invasion in the evaluation of extracted specimen [Table 1]. Overall, there were four patients who had postoperative T1 adenocarcinoma. The other two was preoperatively diagnosed with good histopathologic features which were confirmed by postoperative histologic evaluation. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging scans for local tumor staging in these patients showed negative lymph nodes. All tumors were removed completely, with no tumor remaining at the macroscopic and histologic resection margins [Table 1].

All patients were completely continent before surgery according to CCIS (all scores = 0). Three weeks after surgery, one of the patients had a complaint of flatus incontinence and defecation urge. As this patient’s postoperative score was 3, overall mean CCIS scores increased to $0.3 \pm 0.68$ at postoperative third week evaluation ($P > 0.05$). Six weeks after the surgery, this patient reported that the complaints were resolved.

The preoperative and postoperative anorectal manometric parameters were normal for all patients, but mean minimum rectal sensory volume was significantly less at 3 weeks after, than before surgery [Table 2]. Rectoanal inhibitory reflex and sphincter reflex contractions were positive for all patients before and after surgery.

There were no perioperative complications. The most recent follow-up of all patients (mean, 27 week; range, 16 to 40 week) showed that no patients had any complications such as hemorrhage, suture dehiscence, leakage, or recurrence.

DISCUSSION

Rectal tumors located in the lower part of the rectum and the anal canal may be excised effectively using the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Patient age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Tumor location (rectal wall)</th>
<th>Distance of tumor from anal verge (cm)</th>
<th>Preoperative diagnosis from biopsy</th>
<th>Postoperative diagnosis</th>
<th>Tumor diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Resection margin (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Postero lateral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Villous adenoma</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tubulovillous adenoma</td>
<td>Tubulovillous adenoma</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Anterolateral</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>T1 adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>T1 adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>T1 adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Postero lateral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Villous adenoma</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>T1 adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>T1 adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Postero lateral</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tubulovillous adenoma</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>T1 adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N = 10 patients, Data reported as number or mean ± SD (range, minimum to maximum)
In a previous study of the TAMIS procedure in 50 patients for treatment of benign and malignant rectal tumors, three patients (6%) had tumor at the resection margins (in two patients excision for a villous adenoma, and in one patient excision of a T2 adenocarcinoma); frequency of recurrence was 4%, including recurrence in two patients at 6 and 18 months after surgery. Therefore, the frequency of tumor at the resection margins and recurrence were reported as similar between TAMIS and transanal endoscopic microsurgery. In this study, all 10 patients had no tumor at the resection margins and all were recurrence free at the end of postoperative 28th week. We believe that, the advantage of TAMIS, providing wider visibility in the rectal lumen (360°) than transanal endoscopic microsurgery (220°) resulted with tumor free resection margins even for lower third rectal lesions. However, further study is justified to evaluate long-term follow-up after TAMIS.

The reported complications associated with the TAMIS procedure are infrequent and include minor complications such as suture dehiscence or rectal bleeding that were treated nonoperatively. Iatrogenic peritoneal entry during excision with TAMIS was reported for one patient, but it was also treated transanally without open surgery. In this study, all 10 excisions had no perioperative or postoperative complications.

In most TAMIS procedures, technical difficulty may include loss of pneumorectum. Smoke formation during cautereization may impair visualization, and suction that is used to clear the smoke may cause loss of endoluminal pressure. Transanal endoscopic microsurgery enables controlled endoluminal pressure because the microsurgery device includes suction and insufflation systems. Although the loss of endoluminal pressure during TAMIS may have prolonged the operative time in the present study (mean, 99 min), the mean operative time was similar to that reported with transanal endoscopic microsurgery.

Transanal endoscopic microsurgery includes the transanal approach. However, transanal excision of rectal cancers, including stage I cancers may be associated with poor locoregional control. In contrast, local excision with transanal endoscopic microsurgery on selected patients who have T1 rectal cancer may provide excellent survival, low frequency of recurrence, and outcomes comparable to those achieved with radical resection. 

Transanal endoscopic microsurgery may enable more precise dissection by providing a better view of the surgical area, and this may explain the better recurrence-free survival with transanal endoscopic microsurgery than transanal excision.

Surgeons may not have access to transanal endoscopic microsurgery equipment because of financial constraints, and/or flat or large tumors in the upper or middle third of the rectum may be unsuitable for colonoscopic polypectomy. In these cases, the surgeon may refer the patient to a specialized center for endoscopic treatment or may perform open or laparoscopic low anterior resection, even though the lesion may be treatable with local excision. Low anterior resection may be associated with major morbidity including anastomotic leak, sexual and urinary dysfunction, functional morbidity from a permanent colostomy, and mortality.

Transanal endoscopic microsurgery was the only surgical technique available for endoscopic resection of rectal tumors until TAMIS was described. Increased use of TAMIS may be attributed, in part, to the low cost of TAMIS compared with transanal endoscopic microsurgery; the current hospital cost of a disposable laparoscopic surgery port, which is available in most laparoscopic surgery clinics, is approximately $500 (United States). In this study, we used TAMIS for lesions of the upper or middle third of the rectum because transanal endoscopic microsurgery equipment was not available in our hospital. Furthermore, in the 84-year-old man who had synchronous sigmoid and rectal cancer, the morbidity and mortality of a low anterior resection and stoma were avoided by removing the malignant rectal tumor with TAMIS.

Table 2: Anorectal manometry and clevel and clinic incontinence score before and after transanal minimally invasive surgery for rectal tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative*</th>
<th>P ≤ †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manometric parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean resting anal pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>57 ± 4.57</td>
<td>57 ± 9.84</td>
<td>0.918†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum squeeze pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>150.9 ± 8.41</td>
<td>148.7 ± 8.31</td>
<td>0.372‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squeeze endurance (s)</td>
<td>20.4 ± 2.63</td>
<td>19.9 ± 2.49</td>
<td>0.058†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum rectal sensory volume (mL)</td>
<td>37 ± 8.23</td>
<td>24 ± 5.16</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* N = 10 patients. Data reported as mean ± standard deviation. Postoperative measurements were made at 3 weeks after surgery. † NS = not significant (P > 0.05).
3 weeks after the procedure, and decreased anal manometric parameters (anal resting pressure, squeeze pressure, threshold volume, maximum tolerable volume, and rectal compliance) at short-term follow-up. [10]

Hypothetically, TAMIS may result in less impairment of anal sphincter functions than TEM, as TAMIS port is flexible, soft, and smaller (diameter, 30 mm) and may enable safe and atraumatic transanal access without anal dilation. In the presented study, we used anorectal manometry which is an objective method to evaluate anorectal sphincter functions, as it is not easy to detect minor anorectal dysfunctions either clinically or by using specific continence questionnaires. Although the mean minimum rectal sensory volume was lower at 3 weeks after than before TAMIS, the other parameters were unchanged, and 9 of 10 patients had perfect continence score after TAMIS without any complaints [Table 2]. Rectal sensation and rectal wall compliance may affect continence control and may cause anorectal dysfunction including defecation urge and increased stool frequency. Decreased mean minimum rectal sensory volume after TAMIS [Table 2] may have been caused by the full-thickness excision and suture repair of the rectal wall in all patients. In the present study, only one patient had flatus incontinence and defecation urge after surgery; she had a 3.5-cm diameter tumor in the lower rectum that was removed with a negative resection margin, and the wide full-thickness resection may have changed rectal sensation and decreased the minimum rectal sensory volume. The clinical and manometric results suggest that the small, soft, and pliable port used in TAMIS did not cause anal sphincter dysfunction.

Limitations of the present study include the small number of patients, absence of endosonographic evaluation of sphincter integrity, and short follow-up. Despite these limitations, we recommend TAMIS as a safe, cost-effective, and efficient method for tumors that otherwise may be treated with transanal endoscopic microsurgery. The TAMIS may provide a high quality of resection without damaging the anal sphincter or impairing anorectal function. Larger, randomized, prospective studies are justified to evaluate long-term anorectal function and oncologic outcomes after TAMIS.
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